



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/607,116	06/26/2003		Michael J. Berman	03-0538	3693	
24319	7590	03/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE			NGUYEN, GEORGE BINH MINH			
MS: D-106	DIC DATIVE	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILPITAS, CA 95035				3723		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<	- ک	γ)
	_	¥.	-

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/607,116	BERMAN, MICHAEL J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
George Nguyen	3723	

Auvisory Action	10/007,110	BERIVIAN, IVIICHAEI	_ J.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	George Nguyen	3723					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	'06.07(f).						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	and but prior to the date of filing an	annual brief. The Not	ice of Annual				
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing t	he Notice of				
AMENDMENTS	the state of the s	90					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-7</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	7		nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
munger	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$						
Ge orge Nguyen Primary Examiner		George Nguyen Primary Examiner					
		Art Unit: 3723					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended languages set forth in the claims would require further consideration and search.